



ACTA PALAEOLOGICA POLONICA

Instytut Paleobiologii PAN, ul. Twarda 51/55, 00-818 Warszawa, Poland
e-mail: app@twarda.pan.pl phone/fax (00 48 22) 620 62 25

Find enclosed the manuscript discussed, submitted for publication in *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*. We would appreciate receiving your comments on the manuscript and your opinion regarding its scientific value. Please be as specific as possible when recommending revision by the authors. We would be very grateful for any linguistic improvements a native speaker might suggest. Please consider the following questions in your review:

1. Has the material been published previously, either in part or in full?
2. Is the text properly organized and presented? Are the objectives and conclusions clear?
3. Are there any errors of facts?
4. Are interpretations competent and sufficiently backed with available data?
5. Has due account been taken of previous work in the field? Do the references include all the essential publications?
6. Has taxonomic work been done properly? Is nomenclature consistent with the rules of the ICZN (or ICBN)?
7. Is the length of the manuscript appropriate? Are there sections that could be shortened or, to the contrary, need to be expanded for clarity?
8. Are all the figures and tables necessary, or are additional ones needed? Are they of good quality? Are they adequately explained in the text?

It is important to us that we receive your comments no later than **four weeks** from your receipt of the manuscript. If you feel that you need more time, please let us know as soon as possible.

Please e-mail (app@twarda.pan.pl) as attachments: annotated manuscript (using the "track changes" function of Word, if possible) and this form.

Thank you in advance for your kind cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

Editors of *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*

Manuscript:
Authors:
Title:
Date sent for review:

CONFIDENTIAL RATING FORM

Your comments on this form will **not** be sent to the author and are for use of the Editors only.

Reviewer name:

Date:

I confirm that there are no conflicts of interests between me and authors (especially no personal relations, employment subordination, or direct scientific cooperation within last 2 years).

How do you rate the paper?

Publishable in the *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*:

- Outstanding (a contribution of fundamental importance)
- Very good (important and well presented contribution)
- Good (quality work)
- Fair

The manuscript needs minor, moderate, major revision prior to publication.

Is this paper likely to be widely cited: in the short term (YES/NO) or over the longer period (YES/NO)?

Not publishable in the *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* (your comments should indicate why not, and might suggest what steps, if any, could be taken to improve quality of the manuscript or to which other journal the paper might be submitted).

Is there anybody else who should see the manuscript before it is accepted for publication?

Name(s):

REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

These comments **will be** sent to author.

If you do not wish the author to know that you acted as referee please check this .

Comments: